CROPLAND

CLIENT	LOCATION	
PLANNER	DATE	
LAND UNITS	TOOLS	

This check sheet is designed to assist planners and clients in identifying resource concerns during the planning process. The planning criteria outlined in Section III of the FOTG sets the minimum level of treatment. If a screening question is NO, this indicates no resource concern exists and no assessment is required. If a screening question is YES, the assessment must be completed to evaluate if there is a resource concern. If the Assessment is YES, Planning Criteria is met. If the Assessment is NO, the Planning Criteria is not met and a Resource Concern exists.

Resource Concern * required response	Screening Questions NO = Met Screening (Not a RC) YES = Go to Assessment	Y E S	N O	Assessment Tools	Assessment Level Required to Meet Planning Criteria YES = Meets Planning Criteria NO = Resource Concern	Y E S	N O
SOILS RESOURCE	iS I	1				ı	
1.SOIL EROSION: Sheet, rill and wind erosion*	Are perennial ground cover < 90% and slope > 10%?			> RUSLE2 > WEPS > SISL	Water erosion rate <=T AND Wind erosion rate <=T		
2.SOIL EROSION: Concentrated flow erosion *	Do Ephemeral gullies occur? AND Are classic gullies present?			 Field measurements Observations Include photos 	Are conservation practices and managements in place to prevent or control ephemeral gullies? AND Is classic gully management adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures?		
3.SOIL EROSION:	Are streams or shoreline on or adjacent to site?			> SVAP2 > PFC > BEHI	For shorelines and water conveyance channels; are banks stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes?		
Excessive bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels*	OR Is bank erosion from streams, shorelines or conveyance channels present?				AND For stream banks:- SVAP2 bank condition ≥5 OR Bank erosion caused solely by upstream/upland land use and management decisions that are beyond the client's control		
4. SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION: Subsidence	Are Histisol soils present? OR Are there Histisols present exhibiting subsidence?			 Client input Planner observations Include photos 	Is subsidence adequately managed to meet client's objectives?		
5. SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION: Compaction	NONE			 Observation of soil and plant condition including photos Client input/planner observation Compaction Meter Shovel 	Is compaction managed to meet Client's production and management objectives?		
6. SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION: Organic matter depletion*	NONE			> RUSLE2 > WEPS	SCI>0		
7. SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION: Concentration of Salts or other chemicals	NONE			 Soil diagnostic evaluations 	Are conservation practices and managements in place to mitigate onsite effects?		

CROPLAND

Resource Concern * required response	Screening Questions NO = Met Screening (Not a RC) YES = Go to Assessment	Y E S	N O	Assessment Tools	Assessment Level Required to Meet Planning Criteria YES = Meets Planning Criteria NO = Resource Concern	Y E S	N O
WATER RESOURCE	ES						
8. EXCESS WATER: Ponding, flooding, seasonal high water table, seeps and drifted snow	Is excess water a problem? AND Do activities cause ponding/flooding problems			 Client Input Planner observation Include photos 	Is excess water managed to meet Client's objectives?		
9. INSUFFICIENT WATER: Inefficient moisture management	Is Moisture Management a problem? AND Do activities cause inefficient moisture management?			 Client Input Planner observation Include photos 	Are runoff and evapotranspiration levels minimized to meet Client's management objectives?		
10. INSUFFICIENT WATER: Inefficient use of irrigation water *	Is the PLU irrigated?			➤ FIRI can be utilized to assist the producer to determine their efficiency objective	The irrigation system efficiency meets or exceeds the producer's production and management objectives.		
11. WATER QUALITY: Excess nutrients in surface and groundwater *	Are organic or inorganic nutrients applied? AND Is the PLU grazed? AND Are the water courses on or adjacent to the site and are not designated by a State Agencies?			 Client input Planner observation Nutrient budget UMARI MMP 	Are nutrient and amendment applications based on soil or tissue tests and nutrient budgets for realistic yields? OR Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?		
12. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters	Are pest control chemicals applied?			 Client input Planner observation WinPST 	Are pesticides stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?		

CROPLAND

OKOTEAND							
Resource Concern * required response	Screening Questions NO = Met Screening (Not a RC) YES = Go to Assessment	Y E S	N O	Assessment Tools	Assessment Level Required to Meet Planning Criteria YES = Meets Planning Criteria NO = Resource Concern	Y E S	N O
13. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications*	Are potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals applied on the land? AND Are the water courses on or adjacent to the site and are not designated by a State Agencies?			 Client input Planner observation Include photos UMARI 	Are organic materials applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to water sources?		
14. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive salts in surface and ground waters	Is salt concentration a limiting factor? AND Are you a part of the Colorado River? AND Are the water courses on or adjacent to the site and are not designated by a State Agencies?			 Client input Planner observation Include photos 	Are salt concentrations managed to mitigate off-site transport to surface or ground waters?		
15. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Petroleum and heavy metals and other pollutants transported to receiving waters	Do activities present the potential for contamination? AND Are the water courses on or adjacent to the site and are not designated by a State Agencies?			 Client input Planner observation Include photos 	Are petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants stored and handled to avoid runoff or leaching?		
16. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive sediment in surface waters*	Are permanent ground cover < 90% and slope > 10%? AND Are classic gullies present? AND Are streams or shoreline on or adjacent to site? AND Are the water courses on or adjacent to the site and are not designated by a State Agencies?			 RUSLE2 Client input Planner observation SVAP2 WEPS 	Do upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies? AND SVAP2 - bank condition ≥ 5. AND Are livestock and vehicle water crossings stable? AND Is water erosion rate ≤ T? AND Is wind erosion rate ≤ T? AND Are the areas stable?		

CROPLAND

				CROPLAND			
Resource Concern * required response	Screening Questions NO = Met Screening (Not a RC) YES = Go to Assessment	Y E S	N O	Assessment Tools	Assessment Level Required to Meet Planning Criteria YES = Meets Planning Criteria NO = Resource Concern	Y E S	N 0
17. WATER QAULITY DEGRADATION: Elevated water temperature	Is there a water course on or adjacent to the site with State Agency identified temperature impairment? AND Is water course temperature a Client concern? AND Are the water courses on or adjacent to the site and are not designated by State Agencies?			 Client input Planner observation SVAP2 Include photos 	Is SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score ≥ 5? AND Is SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score ≥ 5? AND Is SVAP2 - canopy cover element score ≥ 6? OR Are existing practices in place to address water temperature?		
PLANT RESOUR	CES						
18. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: Undesirable plant productivity and health	NONE			 Client input Planner observation Include photos Crop Tolerance Table 	Are plants adapted to the site, meet production goals and do not negatively impact other resources? AND Is plant damage from wind erosion below Crop Damage Tolerance levels?		
20. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: Excessive plant pest pressure	Is plant productivity limited from pest pressure?			 Client input Include photos Planner observation Utah Invasive Species List Similarity Index Worksheet 	Is pest damage to plants below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria? AND Are plant pests, including noxious and invasive species managed to meet client objectives?		
21. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: Wildfire hazard, excessive biomass accumulation	Is wildfire hazard a concern?			 Client input Planner observation Include photos Guide for quantifying fuels in the Sagebrush Steppe and Juniper Woodlands of the Green Basin 	Are fuel loads and fuel ladders managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives?		
ANIMAL RESOU	RCES						
23. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: Inadequate feed and forage	NONE			 Client input Planner observation Include photos GRAS Feed and Forage Balance 	Are livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed?		

CROPLAND

Resource Concern * required response	Screening Questions NO = Met Screening (Not a RC) YES = Go to Assessment	YES	N O	Assessment Tools	Assessment Level Required to Meet Planning Criteria YES = Meets Planning Criteria NO = Resource Concern	Y E S	N 0
24. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: Inadequate livestock shelter	NONE			 Client input Planner observation Include photos Wind Factor Map 	Do artificial or natural shelters meet animal health needs and client objectives?		
25. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: Inadequate livestock water	NONE			 Client input Planner observation Include photos GRAS Tool for water distribution 	Is water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal needs?		

NOTES: